G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902-03
~~191~~.
(Miscl)

File No. 174

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Rejecting the review petition of Srinivalachar alias Parthasarathy of the Hiriavad Gopalaswami temple praying for a review of the first order dismissing him in connection with missing pearls of a necklace of the temple

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES

Miscellaneous.

1902-03

Subject

Petition of Tirumalachar, Paripattegar of Sri Hiranyad Gopalaswami temple at Cuddapah

In the matter of the missing pearls, padakas &c of a real pearl necklace belonging to the Sri Hiranyadgopalaswami temple, the Government in their Proceedings No. 829-39 dated 29th October 1902, directed that the petitioner who was handling this jewel and who has not chosen to give or obtain

(Printed Copy sent up.)

information regarding the true origin of the case, he dismissed the Service -

The petitioner in his Memorial to H. H. the Maharaja which has been transferred to this Department for disposal with the Private Secretary's No. 1184 of 5th February 1903, submits that during the examination of the jewel he told the Sheristedar his inability to count the pearls on account of his weak sight, & that he is old and quite innocent. He prays that

the Govt order might also be solicited.

Submit to the Deputy with a copy of the order appealed against.

29/3/03

RD
30-3-03
9453

Say that the Secretary already decided will and we responded—

1 IV 03

Read
2/4/03

Draft
3/4/03

Dft submitted
2/5/03

Date 7-6-03
584
35
MUZRAI BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Bangalore, 5th February 190 2.

re 1184

Rec'd
7/6/03

Petition — as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is

transferred, for disposal to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

By Order,

re 716
16 12 02

I C.S
Private Secretary
to H H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
716
16 DEC 1902
TO H.E. MAHARAJA OF NABHA

Such being the case upon the petitioner who is very old and [illegible] of the worldly tricks being a typical poor from the time of his forefathers, prays for having considered as witness thereby and having been dismissed under the false presumption.

Your humble petitioner does not so much regret for the loss of his position as a Peon-pathegar or four annas a month as for the bad name which is a bane to him in his old age

Your humble petitioner therefore begs on bended knee at His Highness's feet being fully confident that justice can be had from him who is the fountain of Justice and requests earnestly to go through the records and do justice for which act of kindness your humble petitioner, shall as in duty bound, pray for the long life and prosperity of His Highness.

Dft. as per Dewan's obs

Murzpa

Endorsement - pointed
to Tirumalachar
late Parpatteyar of the
Sri Hiruvadigopalaswami
temple at Gundlupet,
in reply to his petition
Regd no $\frac{584}{85}$ of 16th Dec 1902
addressed to H.H. the
Maharaja, stating
with reference to the
Govt Pro. No. 829-39
of 29th Oct. 1902 directing
his dismissal in the
matter of the misdemeanour

pearl necklace belonging
to the temple, that
he informed the Sheriff Cedar
during
while the examination
of the jewel of his inability
to count the pearls on
account of his weak
sight and praying
that as he is old &
innocent, the Govt.
order dismissing him
might be set aside.

No. 2145 & 2146.
MUAF 29-93
Dy 5-5-03

The petitioner is
informed that the
which has been
question already

Decide & will not
be re opened by Sri. ?

By order

Dewan's order

4/5/03
Dy Secy
Mines: Dept

Copy to the Dy Commr
Mysore D:t for info.

By order

Dy Secy

4/5/03